

John Maissan
219 Falcon Drive
Whitehorse, Yukon
Y1A 0A2

Telephone: (867) 668-7774
Email: John.Maissan@northwestel.net

October 13, 2017

Yukon Utilities Board
Box 3178
Whitehorse, YT
Y1A 6L3
Attn: Mr. Robert Laking, Board Chair

Dear Mr. Laking,

Re: Reply to Yukon Energy responses dated October 10, 2017 to motions regarding IR responses

The following are my comments with regard to the Yukon Energy responses to my IRs in question.

JM-YEC-1-5 (e): The further response provides the information requested.

JM-YEC-1-6 (c): The response does not fully meet my expectations but can be pursued further in cross-examination.

JM-YEC-1-10 (b) (v) and (vi): The response does not fully meet my expectations but can be pursued further in cross-examination.

JM-YEC-1-12 (b): Yukon Energy's response misquotes my original question which was "*please discuss the cold load pick-up capability (i.e. after an outage) of diesel vs. LNG generators.*" (emphasis added). This issue is extremely relevant as Yukon Energy will be retiring diesel generators and planning new diesel or LNG generation plants to meet these retirements and growth in peak loads. However, this question / issue may be best pursued in cross-examination.

JM-YEC-1-21: Yukon Energy's GRA application at pages 2-4 and 2-7 (as well as elsewhere) recognizes that the Minto mine will continue to operate until 2020 and that there will be industrial sales in the 2018 test year. The industrial load of 38.2 GWh shown is consistent with Minto's load (but not stated explicitly). In this light Yukon Energy's assertions that "*the Minto mine was not expected to continue beyond 2017*:" and that "*the response reflected the conditions assumed in the Application*" appear to be incorrect. Furthermore the DCF cap is up for discussion and decision in this GRA, and may or may not be up for discussion in a future Victoria Gold PPA hearing, thus it is very relevant to this proceeding. I request that the Board order Yukon Energy to provide a complete response to this IR.

JM-YEC-1-24 (b): The response does not fully meet my expectations but can be pursued further in cross-examination.

JM-YEC-1-31 (e): The further response by Yukon Energy again provides levelized cost of capacity which is based on possible configurations with a varying amount capacity and energy storage. The requested information was not available in the TransGrid Solutions Inc. report, and it appears that Yukon Energy does not have this information either. Nothing will be gained by pursuing this question further.

I leave it to the Board to consider the above matters and issue such further Orders as the Board considers appropriate.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "John Maissan".

John Maissan