

March 26, 2014

Hello,

Thank you for the opportunity to speak to the grave issue of "any" LNG use in Yukon as acceptable. It is NOT in the public's interest to bring LNG to our Territory and especially when the CEO of Yukon Energy has more than one purpose for proposing LNG.

I was at the YESAB public presentation whereby YESAB stated they had a limited scope to address and consider the LNG proposal for back-up generation at YEC's facilities. The conversation and debate was "what is within YESAB's scope".

Recently Mr. Don Roberts, President, Yukoners Concerned submitted a request YUB consider the larger social license issue when contemplating introduction of LNG in Yukon. He states "*this conversion to LNG by YEC is a back door approach to introduce fracking in the Yukon.*"

In a letter dated March 19, 2014, to your board, Mr. Ed Mollard, CGA, Chief Financial Officer, Yukon Energy Corporation makes the points:

1. "*Neither YCS/LE nor DR have been able to reference any evidence to refute this basic point of a back-door approach to LNG activity within Yukon*"
2. "*Further, in correspondence to the Yukon Conservation Society (dated December 9, 2013) YESAB noted that the possibility of hydraulic fracturing as an activity connected-to this Project is simply too remote and speculative for the Executive Committee to include it in its assessment*"

I also would like to join Mr. Roberts in his assertion. He is correct. The agenda is much larger than "*cheap back up fuel*" (LNG) for short, intense periods of time when our current system fails.

I ask your board to view the video QUESTtalk - The Smart Energy in Canada's North <http://www.questcanada.org/questtalks/june2013>.

During this presentation Mr. Morrison, CEO, Yukon Energy Corporation, clearly makes profound statements regarding the full legacy of LNG, not only to mean the replacement of our aged diesel generators with new LNG generators but rather ... (his quote) "***we are going to design and build 95 cubic meter trucks and permit them, and it is going to introduce a gas source for transportation and home heating (reducing green house emissions), and all from trying to solve a little problem. We see ourselves as part of the economic engine keeping energy costs affordable***".

Earlier in the spring of 2013 while presenting to Northern Free Gold, Mr. Morrison states Note: @ 7:13 minutes into the video David answers a question "*if you haven't talked to me ... you probably don't have the full story on power in the Yukon. The commenter then states "you (David) basically run it (Yukon Energy).*"

I certainly agree, we do not have YEC's full story.

Therefore, although Mr. Roberts may not have all that is necessary for your board to sit up and take notice I would respectfully say the presented quotes certainly negate any and all belief Mr. Morrison, and maybe his board of directors, has only one agenda for the introduction of LNG for the sole purpose of backup generation.

I found the statement presented on behalf of YEC ..." *and all from trying to solve a little problem*" very offensive. This little problem would set Yukoners back by a minimum of \$40 million dollars with no price guarantee or a move to a more sustainable future.

I trust your board will consider these very grave words from Mr. Morrison who has taken every opportunity to tell Yukoners LNG is strictly for "*backup generation*", and the possible savings of \$1 million in the first year with eventual possible saving of \$4 million a year, while telling the rest of the world a very different plan for Yukon.

Again these statements are far from the facts. In Yukon we do not currently spend \$1 million per year on back up diesel. It is appalling we are being sold a \$40 million dollar project with no real savings and no real improvement and/or value to quality of our air and life. There are many world-wide countries making successful inroads to a lighter footprint on our earth and all without the hyped use of LNG.

Today, I understand, Yukon Energy Corporation can no longer promise LNG supplied to Yukon will be frack-free. My understanding is the Shell contract is no longer available. Does this not speak to the issue there are no guarantees.

We are a smart society – let's resource renewables!

Thank you
Davina Harker
668-5208