

List of Undertakings from 2012/13 GRA Hearing

<i>Undertaking No</i>	<i>Transcript Reference</i>	<i>Response Provided</i>
1.	Page 26, line 12	Pages 82-83, Exhibit B-18
2.	Page 26, line 23	
3.	Page 28, line 12	November 16, 2012 Filing
4.	Page 29, line 8	Page 82-83, Exhibit B-19
5.	Page 31, line 12	November 16, 2012 Filing
6.	Page 38, line 2	
7.	Page 41, line 15	Page 85, lines 8-13
8.	Page 69, line 17	Page 84, line 3 - UCG-YEC-1-31
9.	Page 76, line 22	Page 84, line 6 – 2009 Phase II GRA cost; Page 84, line 9 - Rider F cost
10.	Pages 80-81	Pages 84-85
11.	Page 88, line 12	
12.	Page 93, line 1-8	Exhibit B-21, Pages 552-553
13.	Page 100, line 21	Pages 202-203
14.	Page 191, line 7	Pages 299-300
15.	Page 192, line 23	Pages 300-301
16.	Page 193, line 12	Page 301
17.	Page 304, line 2	Page 356
18.	Page 320, line 12	
19.	Page 348, line 2	
20.	Page 453, line 14	Exhibit B-20, page 552
21.	Pages 467-75	
22.	Page 513, line 1	Page 554
23.	Page 572, line 16	
24.	Page 581, line 6	
25.	Page 584, line 21	
26.	Page 594, line 10	
27.	Page 611, line 20	November 16, 2012 Filing
28.	Page 612, line 14	
29.	Page 632, line 17	November 16, 2012 Filing
30.	Page 640, line 25	
31.	Page 642, line 11	
32.	Page 651, line 11	November 16, 2012 Filing

Undertaking # 3 at Page 28, line 12

At page 28, Line 12 Mr. Mollard made an undertaking to provide the expenses so far incurred under the following categories for 2012: administration and other general overhead expenditures, staff training, information programs and advertising, and a brief description.

Yukon Energy Response:

Please see Table 1 below.

Table 1: DSM Administration, Incurred Costs to date 2012

Labour	14
Training	3
Membership Dues	1
Office materials/ Services	2
Rent	27
Phone/ Internet	7
Total (\$000)	54

Undertaking # 5 at Page 31, line 12

At page 31, line 12 Mr. Mollard made an undertaking to provide the targeted savings related to internal DSM within YEC and indicate whether those targets were achieved, and if so, how the savings were accounted for in the application.

Yukon Energy Response:

An audit of YEC's key facilities has been completed. YEC is currently evaluating the recommendations and completing cost/benefit analysis of the potential projects.

To date, no specific projects have been identified for execution and there are not specific targets for savings set. Accordingly, there is no impact on the application from internal DSM savings.

Undertaking # 27 at Page 611, line 20

At page 611, line 20, Mr. Morrison made an undertaking to provide the actual number that has been spent on the Marsh Lake project that updates the \$3.23 million forecast.

Yukon Energy Response:

Page 5-28 of the Application notes Marsh Lake spending to the end of 2011 of \$3.23 million, with forecast spending in 2012 of \$0.800 million for a forecast total project cost to the end of 2012 equal to \$4.031 million; amounts deferred to the end of 2012 will be closed and amortized over 10 years starting in 2013. Spending in 2013 to go into rate base is forecast to be \$0.800 million.

The updated forecast of 2012 spending on the Marsh Lake Supply Enhancement project for 2012 is \$1.025 million – for a total \$4.255 million forecast spending to the end of 2012.

Undertaking # 29 at Page 632, line 17

At page 632, line 17, Mr. Morrison made an undertaking to check whether the existing planning cost accounting policy had already been filed in an IR response and if not to file the existing planning cost accounting policy.

Yukon Energy Response:

The existing planning cost accounting policy was filed as Attachment 1 to CW-YEC-1-33(a).

Undertaking # 32 at Page 651, line 11

At page 651, line 11, Mr. Osler made an undertaking to advise as to whether BCUC has come out with their generic rate for 2011.

Yukon Energy Response:

The British Columbia Utilities Commission issued a preliminary notification of the initiation of the generic cost of capital proceeding on November 28, 2011. By Order G-20-12 (February 28, 2012), the Commission established the generic cost of capital proceeding and provided an initial regulatory timetable. According to the BCUC website¹, the proceeding is underway with an oral hearing scheduled December 12, 2012. Consequently, a decision on the matter would not be expected until 2013.

As noted in the Application (Tab 8), the Terasen ROE decision (Order G-158-09) eliminated the automatic adjustment mechanism and ordered Terasen Gas Inc to complete a study of alternative formulae for an automatic adjustment mechanism. However, the BCUC determined that the 2009 approved ROE for Terasen (9.5%) may continue to be used as the Benchmark ROE in establishing the ROE for rate-setting purposes for other BC utilities. BC utilities continue to reference this benchmark and apply utility-specific risk premiums as approved by the BCUC. In this regard, were Yukon Energy to continue to use the existing BCUC benchmark, the ROE would be 9.52% (9.5%, plus 52 basis point risk premium approved for YEC in Order 2005-12 and Order 2009-8 and minus 50 basis points to reflect the OIC 1995/90 (as amended by OIC 1998/32) adjustments.

¹ <http://www.bcuc.com>