

- H. By way of memorandum dated August 14, 2013, the Board invited comments from YECL on UCG's motion. Comments were due on August 20, 2013 and reply comments from UCG were due on August 23, 2013.
- I. Comments were received from YECL on the UCG motion on August 20, 2013 and reply comments were received from UCG on August 23, 2013;
- J. The Board has considered the UCG motion, the response from YECL and the UCG reply in making its determinations on the need for further IR Responses in this proceeding.

NOW THEREFORE, the Board orders as follows:

YECL shall provide further and better IR responses in accordance with the Reasons attached in Appendix A to this Order by September 5, 2013.

DATED at the City of Whitehorse, in the Yukon Territory, this 28th day of August 2013.

BY ORDER



Bruce McLennan
Chair

Appendix A to Board Order 2013-07

IN THE MATTER OF the *Public Utilities Act* Revised Statutes of Yukon, 2002, c. 186, as amended

and

General Rate Application for 2013 through 2015 by Yukon Electrical Company Limited

Reasons for Decision

The Board has considered the requests of UCG for further and better IR responses. The Board reviewed the record in relation to the IRs in question and the responses provided to date by YECL, and the submissions of UCG and YECL relating to the IRs. The Board has considered the nature of the current proceeding, the materiality and potential impacts to parties of further information responses being provided or not provided, and the Board's *Rules of Practice* in considering UCG's motion. In general, this GRA is to test the requested revenue requirements for YECL for the years 2013 to 2015 inclusive.

In arriving at the following determinations, the Board balanced the level of detail requested in some of the IRs, whether the IRs were relevant to the proceeding, and whether the information responses could be provided in a timely manner.

UCG-YECL-4: The Board is of the view that YECL has provided an adequate response on this IR and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-5(b): The Board notes that the inclusion of GST is a simple mathematical exercise that gives a "total cost" picture to YECL customers. In the Board's view the UCG request is reasonable and directs YECL to fully respond to this IR.

UCG-YECL-5(c): The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YECL and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-9(a): The UCG request is reasonable; YECL is directed to provide the source documents in electronic format as requested.

UCG-YECL-10(b): The Board understands YECL's response to be that there is no change in risk by including a deferral account for industrial sales. UCG may question the assumptions on which the assertion is based in its evidence or at the oral hearing.

UCG-YECL-18(a): The Board is of the view that YECL can provide more detail to respond to the UCG request without actually providing invoices. The Board directs YECL to provide an Excel spreadsheet that shows the calculation of the forecast fuel price including a listing of the invoiced amounts that make up the fuel inventory balance.

UCG-YECL-18(b): The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YECL and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-24(a): The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YECL and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-24(b): The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YECL and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-30(j): The Board is of the view that YECL can provide the information requested by UCG by showing the impact on major components of the revenue requirement model, such as the change in income tax and any other changes that occur. Therefore, the Board directs YECL to respond to this IR with more detail.

UCG-YECL-32: The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YECL and no further response is required.

UCG-YECL-98(c): The Board is of the view that this IR has been adequately responded to by YEC and no further response is required.

Nothing in this Board Order or Reasons for Decision prevents interveners from presenting evidence or lines of questioning on these issues at the oral hearing.